## 

	m (pro hac vice)		
• II ·	y (pro hac vice)		
Tacgre Baker B	Daniels LLP n Street, Suite 2700		
Indianapolis, IN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Telephone: +1			
Facsimile: +1 3			
5 <u>kathy.osborn@</u>			
f <u>ryan.hurley@Fa</u>	aegreBD.com		
	erts (pro hac vice)		
Faegre Baker D 3200 Wells Far			
1700 Lincoln S			
Denver, CO 80	)203		
Telephone: +1			
	Facsimile: +1 303-607-3600 jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com		
jeff.roberts@Fa	legrebD.com		
Calvin L. Litse	y (SBN 289659)		
Faegre Baker D	Daniels LLP y Avenue, Suite 450		
East Palo Alto,	CA 94303-2279		
Telephone: +1	650-324-6700		
. 11	Facsimile: +1 650-324-6701 calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com		
<u>curvinintsey e r</u>	ucgrebb.com		
	Defendants Thomson S.A. and sumer Electronics, Inc.		
3	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
)			
)	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
'	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION	
l		I	
$_{2}\parallel$			
	DE RAY TUBE (CRT)	No. 07-cv-5944-SC	
ANTITRUST I	LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917	
		AMENDED STIPULATION AND	
This Document	Palatas to:	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE THOMSON DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO SHARP'S	
	Relates to.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
Sharp Electron Ltd. Et al., No.	ics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, 13-cv-01173		
3			
	LATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDED COMPLAINT	1 Case No. 07-5944-SC; MDL No. 1917	

Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. (collectively, "Sharp") and Defendants Thomson S.A. and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. (collectively "Thomson Defendants") enter into this Amended Stipulation concerning the matter entitled Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al., No. 13-cv-01173, which was related to In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, No. 07-cv-05944, by an Order of Judge Samuel Conti on March 26, 2013.

SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2013, Sharp filed its First Amended Complaint [Dkt. No. 2030] captioned Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-01173;

WHEREAS, on November 4, 2013, Sharp and the Thomson Defendants entered into a Stipulation [Dkt. No. 2106] setting forth a briefing schedule on the Thomson Defendants' response to Sharp's First Amended Complaint that set the following deadlines:

- 1. The deadline for the Thomson Defendants to file their responses to Sharp's First Amended Complaint was Friday, November 22, 2013;
- The deadline for Sharp's response to any motions to dismiss filed by the Thomson 2. Defendants was Friday, December 20, 2013; and
- 3. The deadline for the Thomson Defendants' reply briefs was Friday, January 10, 2014.

WHEREAS, on November 7, 2013, the Court entered an Order [Dkt. No. 2200] granting the Stipulation.

WHEREAS, Sharp and the Thomson Defendants have agreed to an extension of time regarding the briefing schedule on the Thomson Defendants' response to Sharp's First Amended Complaint and Sharp's opposition to the Thomson Defendants' response;

28

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By entering into this Amended Stipulation, Thomson S.A. does not waive its right to assert any defense to Sharp's First Amended Complaint, including, without limitation, that Thomson S.A. is not subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1

NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(b), SHARP AND THE THOMSON DEFENDANTS, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The deadline for the Thomson Defendants to file their responses to Sharp's First Amended Complaint shall be Monday, November 25, 2013;
- 2. The deadline for Sharp's response to any motions to dismiss filed by the Thomson Defendants shall be Monday, December 23, 2013; and
- 3. The deadline for the Thomson Defendants' reply briefs shall remain Friday, January 10, 2014.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: November 22, 2013 By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey S. Roberts</u>

Jeffrey S. Roberts (*pro hac vice*) Faegre Baker Daniels LLP 3200 Wells Fargo Center

1700 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: +1 303-607-3500 Facsimile: +1 303-607-3600 jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com

Kathy L. Osborn (*pro hac vice*) Ryan M. Hurley (*pro hac vice*) Faegre Baker Daniels LLP

300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700

Indianapolis, IN 46204

Telephone: +1 317-237-0300 Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000 kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com

ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com

Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659)
Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
1950 University Avenue, Suite 450
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279
Telephone: +1 650-324-6700
Faccimile: +1 650-324-6701

Facsimile: +1 650-324-6701 <u>calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com</u>

3

Attorneys for Defendants Thomson S.A. and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.

## 

1	
2	By: <u>/s/ Craig A. Benson</u>
3	Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
4	TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355
5	San Francisco, CA 94111
6	Telephone: (415) 788-8200 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
	Email: staylor@tcolaw.com Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com
7 8	Kenneth A. Gallo ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Joseph J. Simons ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
9	Craig A. Benson ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
10	2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047
11	Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and
13	Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.
14	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this
15	document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.
16	D . 1 . 1 . 20 . 2012
17	Dated: November 22, 2013
18	By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey S. Roberts</u>
19	
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	Dated: November, 2013
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	